

References

- Adelman, Clifford (1999). Answers in the tool box: Academic intensity, attendance patterns, and bachelor's degree attainment. Washington, DC: United States Department of Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 431363).
- Alam, D. & Seick, R. E. Jr. (1994). A block schedule with a twist. Phi Delta Kappan, 75(9), 732-733.
- Alper, Lynne, Fendel, Dan, Fraser, Sherry, & Resek, Dianne (1997). Designing a high school mathematics curriculum for all students. American Journal of Education 106 (November 1997), 148-179
- Anderson, John R., Reder, Lynne M., & Simon, Herbert A. (1996). Applications and Misapplications of Cognitive Psychology to Mathematics Education. Retrieved April 25, 2002 from <http://sands.psy.cmu.edu/personal/ja/misapplied.html>
- Averett, Chris P. (1994). Block scheduling in North Carolina high schools. Raleigh, NC: North Carolina Department of Public Instruction.
- Bennett, A., Maier, E., & Nelson, L. T. (1987). Math in the mind's eye. Salem, OR: Math Learning Center.
- Boaler, J., Brodie, Karin, Gifford, H., Hand, V., Pilner, K., Shahan, E. Sommerfeld, M., Staples, M., Strauss, J., Whalen, S., White, T., & Yudiovich, R. (2002). Choosing a math curriculum: Information from Greendale High School. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Teaching and Learning Center. Retrieved June 7, 2002 from <http://www.stanford.edu/~joboaler/curriculum/stanford.html>.
- Bourgeacq, P. B., Brungardt, C. B. Forinash, L., Helscher, M. L., Kennel, T., Reynolds, L. L., Robinson, T., Schulte, M., Smith, C. Stearns, P. M., & Thayer, B. J. (1997). Processing and scoring assessment materials. In N. L. Allen, F. Jenkins, E. Kulick, and C. A. Zelenak (Eds.) Technical Report of the NAEP 1996 State Assessment Program in Mathematics. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Bransford, John D., Brown, Ann L., & Cocking, Rodney R. (1999). How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Bransford, J. D., Franks, J. J., Vye, N. J. & Sherwood, R. D. (1989). New approaches to instruction: because wisdom can't be told. In S. Vosniadou and A. Ortony (Eds), Similarity and Analogical Reasoning (pp. 470-97). NY: Cambridge University Press.
- British Columbia Ministry of Education (1995). The 1995 British Columbia assessment of mathematics and science. Retrieved April 27, 2002, from <http://www.bced.gov.bc.ca/assessment/masc95/contents.htm>

- Brophy, B. (1978). Semestering and the teaching-learning situation. Canadian Journal of Education 3(1978), 47-54.
- Brombacher, Aarnout A. (1997). High school mathematics teachers' transition to a standards-based curriculum. Unpublished doctoral dissertation: University of Georgia, Athens.
- Brown, John S., Collins, Allan, & Duguid, Paul (1989). Situated cognition and the culture of learning. Educational Researcher, 18, 32-42.
- Bullman, Alan G. (1992). Elementary statistics. Columbus, OH: McGraw-Hill.
- Burrill, Gail (1997, April). Show me the math! NCTM Bulletin 33(2), 3.
- Busick, Kathleen U., & Inos, Rita H. (1992) Synthesis of the research on educational change: Implementation phase. Denver, Co: Education Commission of the States. (Eric Document Reproduction Service No. ED 349 705)
- Cameron, T. (1995). Block scheduling one year later or, what's your schedule done for you lately! Oklahoma Association of Secondary School Principals Newsletter (October), 9-11.
- Canady, R. L. & Rettig, M. D. (1995). Block scheduling: a catalyst for change in high schools. Princeton, NJ: Eye on Education.
- Carroll, John M. (1994). The Copernican plan evaluated: The evolution of a revolution. Topsfield MA: Copernican Associates.
- Cobb, Brian R., Abate, Stacey, & Baker, Dennis (1999). Effects of a 4x4 junior high school block scheduling program. Education Policy Analysis Archives 7(3).
- College Board (1998, May). Block schedules and student performance on AP examinations. Retrieved April 26, 2002, from <http://www.collegeboard.com/research/html/rn03.pdf>
- College Board (2001a). Advanced placement program: Bulletin for students and parents. Retrieved April 26, 2002, from http://apcentral.collegeboard.com/repository/ap01.pdf.ap_7911_7931.pdf
- College Board (2001b, May). Interpreting and using AP grades. Retrieved April 26, 2002, from http://apcentral.collegeboard.com/repository/ap01.pdf.in_7925.pdf
- Ebos, F. & Zolis, P. (1987) Math Matters Book 3. Nelson, Canada: South-Western Publishing Company.
- Edwards, C. M. (1995) The 4 x 4 plan. Educational Leadership, 53(3), 16-19.
- Fendel, Dan, Resek, Diane, Alper, Lynne, & Fraser, Sherry (1997). Interactive Mathematics Program, Books 1-4. Emeryville, CA: Key Curriculum Press.

- Fendel, Dan, Resek, Diane Fraser, Sherry & Alper, Lynne (1997). What is the place of algebra in the K-12 mathematics curriculum? Bulletin: National Association of Secondary School Principals, 81(586), 60-63.
- Fey, James (2000) A response to critics. American School Board Journal, April, 56.
- Finney, Ross, Demana, Frank, Waits, Burt, & Kennedy, Daniel (1999). Calculus: Graphical, numerical, and Algebraic. Boston: Scott Foresman/Addison Wesley.
- Foreman, Linda C. & Bennet, Albert B. (1991) Visual Mathematics, Course I-III. Salem, OR: Math Learning Center.
- Fullan, Michael G. & Miles, Matthew B. (1992). Getting Reform Right: What Works and What Doesn't. Phi Delta Kappan 73(10), 745-752.
- Gamoran, Adam, Porter, Andrew C., Smithson, John, & White, Paula A. (1997). Upgrading high school mathematics instruction: Improving learning opportunities for low-achieving, low-income youth. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis 19(4), 325-338.
- Garfunkel, S. (1997). For all practical purposes. New York: W. H. Freeman.
- Goldman, Susan R., Petrosino, Anthony J., & the Cognition and Technology Group at Vanderbilt (1999). Design principles for content domains: Lessons from research on expertise and learning. In Francis T. Dorso (Ed.), Handbook of Applied Cognition. New York: Wiley.
- Gore, Gordon R. (1996). Provincial exam results and timetables. Kamploops, British Columbia: unpublished manuscript.
- Governor Thomas Johnson High School (1995). Three year summary report 1992-93, 1993-94, 1994-95: Four period day. Frederick County, MD: Author.
- Green, Lori (2000). Teaching handbook for the Interactive Mathematics Program. Retrieved April 27, 2002, from <http://www.mathimp.org/resources/teacher/index.html>
- Gruber, Charlotte D. & Onwuegbuzie, Anthony J. (2001). Effects of block scheduling on achievement among high school students. The High School Journal 84(4), 32-42.
- Hackman, D. G. (1995). Ten guidelines for implementing block scheduling. Educational Leadership 53(3), 24-27.
- Harter, Randy (1994). The pros and cons of alternative high school schedules. In C. Averett (Ed.) Block Scheduling in North Carolina High Schools. Raleigh, NC: North Carolina Department of Public Instruction.
- Hiebert, James, Carpenter, Thomas P., Fennema, Elizabeth, Fuson, Karen, Human, Piet, Hanlie, Murray, Olivier, Alwyn, & Wearne, Diane (1996). Problem solving as a basis for reform in curriculum and instruction: The case of mathematics. Educational Researcher 25(4), 12-21.
- Hillcrest High School (1995). The Hillcrest plan: A modified block schedule that puts kids first--year four. Springfield, MO: Author.
- Howard High School (1994). Four period block schedule semestered model 1993-1994.

- Howard County, MD: Author.
- Hottenstein, D. & Malatesta, C. (1993). Putting a school in gear with intensive scheduling. High School Magazine, 1 (2), 23-29
- Huntley, M. A., Rasmussen, C. L., Villarubi, R. S., Sangtong, J. & Fey, J. T. (2000) Effects of standards-based mathematics education: A study of the Core-Plus Mathematics Project algebra and functions strand. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 31(3), 328-361.
- Jenkins, Elaine, Queen, Allen J., & Algozzine, Bob (2001). What's new on the block? Bulletin: National Association of Secondary School Principals, 85(625), 56-61.
- Key Curriculum Press (1998). Introduction and implementation strategies for the Interactive Mathematics Program. Retrieved April 27, 2002, from http://www.mathimp.org/general_info/iis/index.html
- King, A. J. C., Clements, J. L., Enns., J. G., Lockerbie, J. W., & Warren, W. K. (1975). Semestering the secondary school. Toronto, Ontario: Ontario Institute for Studies in Education.
- King, A. J. C., Warren, W., Moore, J., Bryans, G., & Pirie, J. (1978). Approaches to semestering. Toronto, Ontario: Ontario Institute for Studies in Education.
- Klein, David (2000). Why the U. S. Department of Education's recommended math programs don't add up. American School Board Journal, April, 52-57.
- Klein, David, Askey, Richard, Milgram, R. James, Wu, Hung-His, Scharlemann, Martin, & Tsang, Betty (1999). An Open Letter to United States Secretary of Education, Richard Riley. Retrieved April 28, 2002, from <http://www.mathematicallycorrect.com/riley.htm>.
- Koedinger, K. R., Anderson, J. R., Hadley, W. H., & Mark, M. A. (1997). Intelligent tutoring goes to school in the big city. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 8, 30-43.
- Kramer, Steven L. (1996). Block scheduling and high school mathematics instruction. Mathematics Teacher, 89 (9), 758-768.
- Kramer, Steven L. (1997a, February). What we know about block scheduling and its effects on math instruction, Part 1. Bulletin: National Association of Secondary School Principals, 81(586), 18-42.
- Kramer, Steven L. (1997b, March). What we know about block scheduling and its effects on math instruction, Part 2. Bulletin: National Association of Secondary School Principals, 81(587) 60-82.
- Krathwohl, David R. (1993). Methods of educational and social science research: An integrated approach. White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Larson, R. E., Kanold, T. D., & Stiff, L. (1995). Algebra 1: An Integrated Approach. Lexington, KY: D. C. Heath.
- Larson, R. E., Kanold, T. D., & Stiff, L. (1993). Algebra 2. Lexington, KY: D. C. Heath.
- Lindsay, (2002, April). The case against block scheduling. Retrieved April 26, 2002, from <http://www.jefflindsay.com/Block2.shtml#attacks>
- Lockwood, Susan L. (1995). Semesterizing the high school schedule: The impact on student achievement in algebra and geometry in Dothan City Schools. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa.
- Lynch, C.. & Olmstead, E. (1993) Math Matters Books 1 and 2. Nelson, Canada:

- South-Western Publishing Company.
- Marshall, M., Taylor, A., Bateson, D., & Brigden, S. (1995). The British Columbia assessment of mathematics and science: Preliminary report (DRAFT). Victoria, B.C.: B.C. Ministry of Education.
- Meadows, Martha E. (1995). A preliminary program review of the four-period day as implemented in four high schools. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland, College Park.
- Merlino, F. Joseph, Wolff, Edward, & Tolbert, Clara (2000). Assessing the cost/benefits of an NSF "Standards-based" secondary mathematics curriculum on student achievement: The Philadelphia Experience. Presentation before the National Science Foundation. Philadelphia, PA: Greater Philadelphia Secondary Mathematics Project.
- Moore, David S. (1995). The basic practice of statistics. New York: W. H. Freeman.
- National Center for Educational Statistics (1997). NAEP 1996 Mathematics: Report Card for the Nation and the States. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education Office of Educational Research and Improvement.
- National Commission on Excellence in Education (1983). A nation at risk. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing office.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (2000). Principles and Standards for School Mathematics. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (1993). Assessment standards for school mathematics. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (1991). Professional standards for teaching mathematics. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (1989). Curriculum and Evaluation Standards for School Mathematics. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (1980). An agenda for action: Recommendations for school mathematics of the 1980s. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Noddings, N. (1990). Constructivism in mathematics education. In R. B. Davis, C. A. Maher, & N. Noddings (Eds.), Constructivist views on the teaching and learning of Mathematics (Journal for Research in Mathematics Education Monograph No. 4), 7-18. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (1997). Block scheduling in North Carolina: Implementation, Teaching, and Impact Issues 1997 Survey Results.
- Retrieved April 25, 2002, from <http://www.dpi.state.nc.us/reportstats.html>.
- North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (1999). Block scheduled high school achievement Part III: Comparison of end-of-course test scores for blocked and non-blocked high schools (1994 through 1998). Raleigh, NC: North Carolina Department of Public Instruction.
- O'Neil, J. (1995). Finding time to learn. Educational Leadership, 53(3), 11-15.
- An open letter to United States Secretary of Education, Richard Riley. (1999, November

- 18), *The Washington Post*, p. A5
- Pliska, Ann-Maureen, Harmston, Matt T., & Hackmann, Donald G. (2001). The relationship between secondary school scheduling models and ACT assessment scores. Bulletin: National Association of Secondary School Principals, 85(625), 42-55.
- Porter, Andrew C. (1998) The Effects of Upgrading Policies on High School Mathematics and Science. Brookings Papers on Education Policy: 1998.
- Pulaski County High School (1995). Pulaski County High School 1995-1996 goals. Dublin, VA: Author.
- Raphael, Dennis, Wahlstrom, Merlin, & McLean, L.D. (1986). Debunking the semestering myth. Canadian Journal of Education, 11(1), 36-52.
- Reid, W. M. (1994). The Copernican timetable and mathematics: Grade 12 exam results at L.V. Rogers Secondary School.” Vector (Spring, 1994), 50-51.
- Reid, W. M. (1995a). Restructuring secondary schools with extended time blocks and intensive courses: The experiences of school administrators in British Columbia. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Gonzaga University, British Columbia.
- Reid, W. M. (1995b). Restructuring a Secondary School with Extended Time Blocks and Intensive Learning. Nelson, British Columbia: unpublished manuscript.
- Rhoad, Milauskas, & Whipple (1991). *Geometry for Enjoyment and Challenge*. Evanston, IL: McDougal/Little.
- Rubenstein, Schultz, Senk, S., Hackworth, McConnell, Viktora, Aksoy, Flanders, Kissane, & Usiskin, Z. (1992). Functions, statistics, and trigonometry with computers. Chicago: University of Chicago School Mathematics Project.
- Salvaterra, M. & Adams, D. Departing from tradition: two schools’ stories. Educational Leadership, 53(3), 32-33.
- Schoenfeld, A.H. 2002. Making mathematics work for all children: Issues of standards, testing, and equity. Educational Researcher 31(1), 13-23
- Schoenstein, R. E. (1995). Making block scheduling work. Education Digest, 60(6), 15-19.
- Schwartz, Daniel L. & Branford, John D. (1998). A time for telling. Cognition and Instruction 16(4), 475-522.
- Senk, S. L., Thompson, D. R., Viktora, S. S., Usiskin, Z., Ahbel, N. P., Weihold, M. L., Rubenstein, R. N., Jaskowiak, J. Hl, Flanders, Jakucyn, N. & Pillsbury, G. (1993). Advanced algebra (2nd ed. field-trial version). Chicago: University of Chicago School Mathematics Project.
- Sessoms, J. C. (1995). Teachers’ perceptions of three models of high school block scheduling. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Virginia, Charlottesville.
- Shockey, Brenda P. (1997). The effects of varying retention intervals within a block

- schedule on knowledge retention in mathematics. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland, College Park.
- Shortt, Thomas L. & Thayer, Yvonne V. (1997). A vision for block scheduling: Where are we now? Where are we going? Bulletin: National Association of Secondary School Principals, 81(593), 1-15.
- Sigurdson, S. E. (1982). Two years on the block plan: Meeting the needs of junior high school students. Edmonton, Alberta: Alberta Dept. of Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. 225 946)
- Smythe, P.G., Stennett, R. G., & Rachar, B. (1974). Long-term retention of numeric and algebraic skills in semestered and non-semestered programmes. London, Ontario: London Board of Education. (Micromedia Limited Use Microlog order No. ON00774)
- Stennett, R. G. (1985). Semestering: Effects on student attitudes and achievement. London, Ontario: London Board of Education. (Micromedia Limited Use Microlog order No. ON04949)
- Stennett, R. G., & Rachar, B. (1973). Gains in mathematics knowledge in grade 10 semestered and non-semestered programmes. London, Ontario: London Board of Education. (Micromedia Limited Use Microlog order No. ON00775)
- Stevens, R. S. (1976). Semestering in Vancouver schools. Vancouver, British Columbia: Vancouver Board of School Trustees. (Eric Document Reproduction Service No. ED 131 556)
- Sturgis, J. (1995). Teacher Opinions about Block Scheduling. Unpublished manuscript. British Columbia: author.
- Thomas, George B. & Finney, R. L. (1989). Elements of calculus and analytic geometry. Boston: Addison Wesley.
- Texas Education Agency Research and Evaluation Division (1999). Block Scheduling in Texas Public High Schools. Austin, TX: Texas Education Agency.
- Thompson, Denisse R. & Senk, Sharon L. (2001). Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 2(1), 58-84.
- U. S. Department of Education (1999). Exemplary and Promising Mathematics Programs. Retrieved April 28, 2002 from <http://www.enc.org/ed/exemplary/>.
- Usiskin, Zalman (1995). Block scheduling and the teaching of mathematics. Scott Foresman Newsletter UCSMPerspectives, 11 (spring 1995), 7-10.

- Watts, Gary D. & Castle, Shari. The time dilemma in school restructuring. Paper presented at the Annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, April 1992. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 350 708)
- Webb, Norman L. (in press). The impact of the Interactive Mathematics Program on student learning. In S. Senk and D. Thompson (Eds.) Standards-oriented school mathematics curricula: What does the research say about student outcomes? Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum
- Wild, Reginald (1998, April). Science Achievement and Block Schedules. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching, San Diego, California.
- Williams, M. F. (1985, February). The trimester scheduling plan: Flexibility in the high school curriculum. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Association of School Administrators, Atlanta, GA. (Eric Document Reproduction Service No. ED 266 553)
- Wood, Terry & Sellers, Patricia (1996). Assessment of a problem-centered mathematics program: Third grade. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 27(3), 337-353.
- Wu, Hung-Hsi (2000, March). Review of the Interactive Mathematics Program (IMP). Retrieved April 1, 2002 from <http://math.berkeley.edu/~wu/>
- Wu, Margaret L., Adams, Raymond J., & Wilson, Mark R. (1998). ACER ConQuest: Generalised item response modeling software. Victoria, Australia: Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Zhang, Gongshu (2001, April). Academic Performance Differences between Students in Block and Traditionally Scheduled High Schools 1993-2000. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Seattle, Washington.